

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/516,975	12/06/2004	Melquisedec Francisquini	288/9-2014	6867	
28147 WILLIAM J. S	7590 05/15/2008 SAPONE	EXAMINER			
COLEMAN SUDOL SAPONE P.C.			KUHN, MART K		
714 COLORA BRIDGE POR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	-,		3637		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/15/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/516,975	FRANCISQUINI, MELQUISEDEC		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Mart K. Kuhn	3637		

	Mart K. Kuhn	3637					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 06 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 X he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 Art Label Art Photice of Appeal was filed on <u>06 May 2008</u>. A brief in date of filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be 	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 		E below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): B. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the							
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the control of the control		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>19-21 and 23-28</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. 🔲 Other:							
/MKK/	/Janet M. Wilkens/						
Examiner, Art Unit 3637	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3637					

13 May 2008

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3637

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejections set forth in the previous Office Action are still considered proper. Pochet teaches a clear benefit to adding a perpendicular rim to a frame profile. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to improve the profile of Rasmussen in the same way; and doing so would not "render the profile inoperative for its intended function" as alleged.